

1 The Hon. Robert S. Lasnik
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
11 Plaintiff,
12 v.
13 HIYWET BEKELE MILLION,
14 Defendant

NO. CR21-059-RSL

ORDER OF FORFEITURE

16
17 THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Motion for Order of
18 Forfeiture (the "Motion") seeking to forfeit, to the United States, Defendant Hiywet
19 Bekele Million's interest in a sum of money (also known as a forfeiture money judgment)
20 in the amount of \$88,346.78 reflecting proceeds Defendant Hiywet Bekele Million
21 obtained from committing Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(1)-(2).

22 The Court, having reviewed the United States' Motion, as well as the other papers
23 and pleadings filed in this matter, hereby FINDS entry of an Order of Forfeiture is
24 appropriate because:

25 • The proceeds of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(1)-(2), are
26 forfeitable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(2);

- In Defendant's Plea Agreement, Defendant agreed to forfeit, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(2), the proceeds Defendant obtained from committing Bank Fraud, to which Defendant pleaded guilty (Dkt. No. 68, ¶ 13);
- Defendant admitted that Defendant obtained proceeds from committing this offense in the amount of approximately \$88,346.78 (Dkt. No. 68, ¶ 13);
- The forfeiture of this sum of money is separate and distinct from the restitution ordered in this case.
- The forfeiture of this sum of money is personal to Defendant Million and, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure ("Fed. R. Crim. P.") 32.2(c)(1), no third-party ancillary process is required before forfeiting it.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

1) Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(2), and Defendant's Plea Agreement, Defendant Million's interest in a sum of money in the amount of \$88,346.78 is fully and finally forfeited, in its entirety, to the United States;

2) Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(4)(A) – (B), this Order will become final as to the Defendant Million at the time he is sentenced; it will be made part of the sentence; and, it will be included in the judgment;

3) No right, title, or interest in the identified sum of money exists in any party other than the United States;

4) Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(e), in order to satisfy this Order forfeiting the sum of money, in whole or in part, the United States may move to amend this Order, at any time, to include substitute property having a value not to exceed \$88,346.78; and

///

5) The Court will retain jurisdiction in this case for the purpose of enforcing this Order, as necessary.

DATED this 5th day of July, 2023.

Mr S Lasnik
THE HON. ROBERT S. LASNIK
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Presented by:

s/Karyn S. Johnson
KARYN S. JOHNSON
Assistant United States Attorney
United States Attorney's Office
700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
Seattle, WA 98101
Phone: 206-553-2462
Fax: 206-553-6934
Karyn.S.Johnson@usdoj.gov